

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,669	02/13/2002	Kevin E. Boyle	TRW(RG)5832	2678
26294 75	590 05/02/2003			
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL, TUMMINO & SZABO LLP			EXAMINER	
1111 LEADER BUILDING 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE		YEAGLEY, DANIEL S		
CLEVEVLAND, OH 4	D, OH 44114-1400	44114-1400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	<u>-</u>

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			T A 11	$\overline{}$	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/075,669	BOYLE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
		Daniel Yeagley	3611		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHO THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	Described to communication(s) filed on 12	Fohruany 2002			
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	his action is non-final.	•		
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b) \( \subseteq \text{Times Times application is in condition for allow} \)		prosecution as to the merits is		
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
• —	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
,	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examin		aminer		
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce				
441	Applicant may not request that any objection to to the proposed drawing correction filed on				
11)[_]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		, •••••		
12)□.	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
,	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1190	(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gripholity under 55 c.c.c. § 1.10	(-) (-) (-)		
a)		nts have been received			
	<del></del>		ation No.		
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>				
	application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). St of the certified copies not receive	ved.		
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).		
a 15)□ /	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>	rovisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	eceived. 20 and/or 121.		
Attachmer					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/075,669

Art Unit: 3611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - a. Species I, drawn to figure 1-4
  - b. Species II, drawn to figure 7-9
  - c. Species III, drawn to figure 10-13.
- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 9 and 21 appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,669

Art Unit: 3611

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. A telephone call was made to Tomas Tarolli on 4/29/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,669 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Yeagley whose telephone number is 703-305-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

D.Y. April 28, 2003 LESLEY D. MORRIS
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
HNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lesley D Morni